RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 2 0 2007

Appln. No. 10/677,966 Docket No. 14XZ126398/GEM-0171

#### AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application.

### **Listing of Claims:**

- 1. (currently amended) A method for [[the]] <u>a</u> space-time filtering of <u>noise</u> in radiography comprising:
- a. for each pixel having coordinates (x,y) of a first image, a weighting is performed on [[the]] coefficients U(k,l) of a first convolution core with a dimension D, equivalent to a low-pass filter, as a function of a coefficient G which is a function of [[the]] a difference computed between I(x,y) and I(x+k, y+l), where I(x,y) is [[the]] an intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the first image, and k and l are indices used to explore the coefficients of the first convolution core, a second convolution core with coefficients Up(k,l) being thus obtained;
- b. for each pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the first image, a weighting is performed on the coefficients U(k,l) of the first convolution core as a function of the coefficient G which is a function of the difference computed between I(x,y) and I'(x+k, y+l), where I'(x,y) is [[the]] an intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of a second image, a third convolution core with coefficients Up'(k,l) being thus obtained; and
  - c. [[the]] a filtered value of I(x,y) is computed by the formula:

$$F(x,y) = \left(\sum_{k=-L}^{L} \sum_{l=-L}^{L} (\gamma * Up(k,l) . I(x+k,y+l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k,l) . I'(x+k,y+l))\right) / N....(1)$$

$$L = \frac{(D-1)}{2} ....(2)$$

$$\gamma \in [0,1] ....(3)$$

$$N = \sum_{k=-L}^{L} \sum_{l=-L}^{L} (\gamma * Up(k,l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k,l))....(4)$$

where F(x,y) is the filtered value of I(x,y); and wherein D is greater than 1.

2. (currently amended) The method according to claim 1 wherein:

Up(k,l) = U(k,l)xG(I(x+k,y+l)-I(x,y); 
$$\sigma$$
(I(x,y))); and [[U'p(k,l)]] Up'(k,l) = U(k,l)xG(I'(x+k,y+l)-I(x,y);  $\lambda \sigma$ (I(x,y)))

with G as a weighting function depending on a difference between the value of the pixel to be filtered and its neighborhood and depending on a noise statistic for the value of the pixel to be filtered.

- 3. (currently amended) The method according to claim 2 wherein G is a function of [[the]] a difference  $\epsilon$  computed and of a known noise statistic  $\sigma$  for I(x,y), the coefficient G being then written as [[the]] a function G( $\epsilon$ ,  $\sigma$ ), where G is therefore [[the]] a value in terms of  $\epsilon$  of a Gaussian curve centered on 0 and having a standard deviation  $\sigma$ .
- 4. (original) The method according to claim 2 wherein G is a function of the computed difference  $\epsilon$  of the following type:

$$G(\epsilon) = -a. \ \epsilon + 1$$
, with  $a > 0$ , et  $Up(k,l) = U(k,l)xG(I(x+k,y+l)-I(x,y))$ , and  $U'p(k,l) = U(k,l)xG(I'(x+k,y+l)-I(x,y))$ .

- 5. (original) The method according to claim 2 wherein  $\lambda$  is a real number.
- 6. (original) The method according to claim 3 wherein  $\lambda$  is a real number.
- 7. (original) The method according to claim 4 wherein  $\lambda$  is a real number.

8. (original) The method according to claim 1 wherein equation (1) becomes:

$$F(x,y) = \left(\sum_{k=-L}^{L} \sum_{l=-L}^{L} (y * Up(k,l).I(x+k,y+l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k,l).F'(x+k,y+l))\right) / N$$
 where F'(x,y) is the filtered intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the second image.

9. (original) The method according to claim 2 wherein equation (1) becomes:

$$F(x,y) = \left(\sum_{k=-L}^{L} \sum_{l=-L}^{L} \left(y * Up(k,l).I(x+k,y+l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k,l).F'(x+k,y+l)\right)\right) / N$$

where F'(x,y) is the filtered intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the second image.

10. (original) The method according to claim 3 wherein equation (1) becomes:

$$F(x,y) = \left(\sum_{k=-L}^{L} \sum_{l=-L}^{L} (\gamma * Up(k,l).I(x+k,y+l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k,l).F'(x+k,y+l))\right) / N$$

where F'(x,y) is the filtered intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the second image.

11. (original) The method according to claim 4 wherein equation (1) becomes:

$$F(x,y) = \left(\sum_{k=-L}^{L} \sum_{l=-L}^{L} (y * Up(k,l).I(x+k,y+l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k,l).F'(x+k,y+l))\right) / N$$

where F'(x,y) is the filtered intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the second image.

12. (original) The method according to claim 5 wherein equation (1) becomes:

$$F(x,y) = \left(\sum_{k=-L}^{L} \sum_{l=-L}^{L} (y * Up(k,l).I(x+k,y+l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k,l).F'(x+k,y+l))\right) / N$$

where F'(x,y) is the filtered intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the second image.

- 13. (original) The method according to claim 1 wherein a value of  $\gamma$  equal to 0 implies a zero temporal dependence.
- 14. (original) The method according to claim 2 wherein a value of  $\gamma$  equal to 0 implies a zero temporal dependence.
- 15. (original) The method according to claim 3 wherein a value of  $\gamma$  equal to 0 implies a zero temporal dependence.

Appln. No. 10/677,966

Docket No. 14XZ126398/GEM-0171

- 16. (original) The method according to claim 4 wherein a value of  $\gamma$  equal to 0 implies a zero temporal dependence.
- 17. (original) The method according to claim 5 wherein a value of  $\gamma$  equal to 0 implies a zero temporal dependence.
- 18. (original) The method according to claim 8 wherein a value of  $\gamma$  equal to 0 implies a zero temporal dependence.
- 19. (currently amended) The method according to claim 1 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a date time t, and the second image having a date time t-1.
- 20. (currently amended) The method according to claim 2 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a date time t, and the second image having a date time t-1.
- 21. (currently amended) The method according to claim 3 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a date time t, and the second image having a date time t-1.
- 22. (currently amended) The method according to claim 4 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a date time t, and the second image having a date time t-1.
- 23. (currently amended) The method according to claim 5 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a date time t, and the second image having a date time t-1.

- 24. (currently amended) The method according to claim 8 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a date time t, and the second image having a date time t-1.
- 25. (currently amended) The method according to claim 13 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a date time t, and the second image having a date time t-1.
  - 26. (original) The method according to claim 1 wherein D is equal to 5.
  - 27. (original) The method according to claim 2 wherein D is equal to 5.
  - 28. (original) The method according to claim 3 wherein D is equal to 5.
  - 29. (original) The method according to claim 4 wherein D is equal to 5.
  - 30. (original) The method according to claim 5 wherein D is equal to 5.
  - 31. (original) The method according to claim 8 wherein D is equal to 5.
  - 32. (original) The method according to claim 13 wherein D is equal to 5.
  - 33. (original) The method according to claim 19 wherein D is equal to 5.
  - 34. (original) The method according to claim 1 wherein D is greater than 5.
  - 35. (original) The method according to claim 2 wherein D is greater than 5.

- 36. (original) The method according to claim 3 wherein D is greater than 5.
- 37. (original) The method according to claim 4 wherein D is greater than 5.
- 38. (original) The method according to claim 5 wherein D is greater than 5.
- 39. (currently amended) The method according to claim [[5]] 8 wherein D is greater than 5.
- 40. (currently amended) The method according to claim [[8]] <u>13</u> wherein D is greater than 5.
  - 41. (original) The method according to claim 19 wherein D is greater than 5.
  - 42. (cancelled)
  - 43. (original) The method according to claim 1 wherein D is an odd number.
  - 44. (original) The method according to claim 2 wherein D is an odd number.
  - 45. (original) The method according to claim 3 wherein D is an odd number.
  - 46. (original) The method according to claim 4 wherein D is an odd number.
  - 47. (original) The method according to claim 5 wherein D is an odd number.
  - 48. (original) The method according to claim 8 wherein D is an odd number.
  - 49. (original) The method according to claim 13 wherein D is an odd number.

Appln. No. 10/677,966

Docket No. 14XZ126398/GEM-0171

- 50. (original) The method according to claim 19 wherein D is an odd number.
- 51. (original) The method according to claim 26 wherein D is an odd number.
- 52. (original) The method according to claim 34 wherein D is an odd number.
- 53. (original) A space-time convolution filter designed according to the method of claim 1.
  - 54. (original) A scanner for radiography having a filter according to claim 53.
  - 55. (cancelled)

stored in the medium, the computer program product comprising:

USPTO Cantor Colburn LLP

02/20/07 16:19:35

- 56. (currently amended) A computer program product comprising a computer useable readable medium having computer readable program code means embodied
- computer readable program code means embodied stored in the medium for causing a computer to provide for each pixel having coordinates (x,y) of a first image, a weighting is performed on [[the]] coefficients U(k,1) of a first convolution core with a dimension D, equivalent to a low-pass filter, as a function of a coefficient G which is a function of [[the]]  $\underline{a}$  difference computed between I(x,y) and I(x+k, y+1), where I(x,y) is [[the]] an intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the first image, and k and l are indices used to explore the coefficients of the first convolution core, a second convolution core with coefficients Up(k,l) being thus obtained;
- computer readable program code means embodied stored in the medium for causing a computer to provide for each pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the first image, a weighting is performed on the coefficients U(k,1) of the first convolution core as a function of the coefficient G which is a function of the difference computed between I(x,y) and I'(x+k, y+l), where I'(x,y) is [[the]] an intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of a second image, a third convolution core with coefficients Up'(k,l) being thus obtained; and
- c. computer readable program code means embodied stored in the medium for causing a computer to provide [[the]] a filtered value of I(x,y) is computed by the formula:

$$F(x,y) = \left(\sum_{k=-Ll=-L}^{L} \left(\gamma * Up(k,l) J(x+k,y+l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k,l) J'(x+k,y+l)\right)\right) / N....(1)$$

$$L = \frac{(D-1)}{2} ....(2)$$

$$\gamma \in [0,1]....(3)$$

$$N = \sum_{k=-Ll=-L}^{L} \left(\gamma * Up(k,l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k,l)\right)....(4)$$

where F(x,y) is the filtered value of I(x,y); and wherein D is greater than 1.

8602860115->

- 57. (currently amended) An article of manufacture for use with a computer system, the article of manufacture comprising a computer readable medium having computer readable program code means embedied stored in the medium, the program code means comprising:
- a. computer readable program code means embedied stored in the medium [[foor]] for causing a computer to provide for each pixel having coordinates (x,y) of a first image, a weighting is performed on [[the]] coefficients U(k,l) of a first convolution core with a dimension D, equivalent to a low-pass filter, as a function of a coefficient G which is a function of [[the]] a difference computed between I(x,y) and I(x+k, y+l), where I(x,y) is [[the]] an intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the first image, and k and l are indices used to explore the coefficients of the first convolution core, a second convolution core with coefficients Up(k,l) being thus obtained;
- b. computer readable program code means embedied stored in the medium [[foor]] for causing a computer to provide for each pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the first image, a weighting is performed on the coefficients U(k,l) of the first convolution core as a function of the coefficient G which is a function of the difference computed between I(x,y) and I'(x+k, y+l), where I'(x,y) is [[the]] an intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of a second image, a third convolution core with coefficients Up'(k,l) being thus obtained; and
- c. computer readable program code means embodied stored in the medium [[foor]] for causing a computer to provide [[the]] a filtered value of I(x,y) is computed by the formula:

Appin. No. 10/677,966

02/20/07 16:20:01

Docket No. 14XZ126398/GEM-0171

$$F(x,y) = \left(\sum_{k=-Ll=-L}^{L} (\gamma * Up(k,l) J(x+k,y+l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k,l) J'(x+k,y+l))\right) / N....(1)$$

$$L = \frac{(D-1)}{2} ....(2)$$

$$\gamma \in [0,1]....(3)$$

$$N = \sum_{k=-Ll=-L}^{L} (\gamma * Up(k,l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k,l))....(4)$$

where F(x,y) is the filtered value of I(x,y); and wherein D is greater than 1.

- 58. (new) The method according to claim 1 wherein a value of  $\gamma$  is greater than 0 and less than 1.
- (new) The computer program product according to claim 56 wherein a value of  $\gamma$ 59. is greater than 0 and less than 1.
- 60. (new) The article of manufacture according to claim 57 wherein a value of  $\gamma$  is greater than 0 and less than 1.

# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

### IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER: \_\_\_\_

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.